

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,187	05/11/2001	Kenneth Arneson	20-486	20-486 6159	
75	90 05/03/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
MANELLI DI	ENISON & SELTER	BATES, I	BATES, KEVIN T		
7th Floor					
2000 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2155		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/03/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/853,187	ARNESON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin Bates	2155	

Defense the Elline of the Assessing		74411E0011 E1 71E					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Bates	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · ·	ompliant Amondmont	· /DTO! 324\				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-68</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					



Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitationof a "change associated with a predefined Internet site content" is all of the independent claims.

Also the Applicant argues that the Final rejection should be withdrawn for the reasons that it was improper because a new grounds of rejection was made in the final rejection. The examiner disagrees, the original Non-Final mailed October 19, 2004 had a typo on the 102(e) rejection, where it only included claims 1 and 68, where clearly claims 1-68 were included under that rejection using the same 102(e) reference. Also on the response to the Non-Final filed on December 13, 2004 the applicant showed understanding that the claims 2-67 were intended to be included in the rejection on page 17 of the response and the applicant took the time to respond to the rejection to those claims on pages 17 to 18, so the applicant clearly had and used that opportunity to respond to the rejection. The Finality is not witdrawn.

SUPER ASORY PATENT EXAMINER

2